

REMARKS

Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 7 have been amended. Accordingly, claims 1-7 are currently pending in the above application.

Priority

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's acknowledgment of the claim for priority and safe receipt of the foreign priority document.


In the Abstract

The Abstract of the Disclosure has been amended to remove any grammatical or idiomatic informalities. No new matter has been added.

35 USC §103

Claims 1-2, 4-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Höhn et al in view of Duggal et al and further in view of Gray et al. These rejections are traversed as follows.

Applicants submit that none of the cited references describe a light source as recited in the presently claimed invention. In particular, none of the cited references disclose a light source having a phosphor screen that includes phosphor expressed by the following composition formula:



wherein, L is at least one type of rare-earth element selected from a group of La, Y, Lu and Sc, a, b and c are in each composition range of $0 \leq a < 1.0$, $0 < b \leq 0.1$ and $0 \leq c \leq 1.0$, M is dopant of a monovalent ionic metal element and the content d is in a range of $0 < d \leq 1000$ wt-ppm.

On the other hand, Höhn et al disclose a wavelength-converting casting composition having a luminous substance of a phosphor group of a general formula $A_3B_5X_{12}:M$. However, element M of Höhn et al is not disclosed to be a monovalent ionic metal element as specifically claimed by Applicants.

This deficiency is not overcome by resort to Duggal et al or any of the remaining cited references. Duggal et al disclose a light-emitting device including an anode, a cathode, and at least one organic electroluminescent ("EL") material positioned between the anode and the cathode (see Abstract). On the other hand, the present invention is directed to a light source and a display provided with a liquid crystal display panel. The light source forms a back light of the liquid crystal display panel. Duggal et al do not disclose an element M which is a monovalent ionic metal element as recited in the pending claims.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks,
Applicants contend that the above-identified application is
now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, reconsideration
and reexamination are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Gene W. Stockman RNZ1,024
for Shrinath Malur
Registration No. 34,663
Attorney for Applicant(s)

MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR
1800 Diagonal Rd., Suite 370
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
(703) 684-1120
Date: November 25, 2003